

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION N |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| 09/726,021 | 11/30/2000 | Hiroki Hayashi | 35 . C14969 | 9968 |
| 5514 | 7590 01/24/2003 | | | |
| FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | NGHIEM, MICHAEL P | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2863 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/24/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | · | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | A | pplication No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| • | | | 09/726,021 | HAYASHI ET AL. | | | |
| ··• | Offic Action Summary | ' | xaminer | Art Unit | | | |
| | | | lichael P Nghiem | 2863 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM insions of time may be available under the proving SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this experied for reply specified above is less than the proving period for reply is specified above, the maximum to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three more departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(| UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a communication. rty (30) days, a reply wit um statutory period will a reply will, by statute, canths after the mailing date. | a). In no event, however, m thin the statutory minimum apply and will expire SIX (6) use the application to beco | ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(| s) filed on <u>12 Nov</u> | <u>rember 2002</u> . | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . | / | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| - | | d 62 is/oro pondi | na in the application | , | | | |
| 4)(△) | Claim(s) 1-9,25,32,33,45-53 and 62 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| ביר | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-9 and 62</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| • | ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) 1, 6, 25, 33, 32, and 45, 53 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| • | ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6,25,32,33 and 45-53</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachmer | nt(s) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 | | | view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) r: | | | |
| S Patent and T | Frademark Office | | | | | | |



Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

The Amendment filed on November 12, 2002 has been acknowledged.

Withdrawal of Allowability

1. The indicated allowability of claims 2-6, 25, 32, 33, and 45-53 are withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Sanada et al. (US 2001/0007274) and Reich et al. (US 6,177,523). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).



Art Unit: 2863

Claims 1-6, 25, 32, 33, and 45-53 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-71 of copending Application No. 09/725,032 (Sanada et al., US 2001/0007274). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because even though Sanada et al. does not claim a fiber for holding ink under a negative pressure, it would be obvious to use the fiber of Sanada et al. to hold ink under negative pressure since the fiber is claimed to hold liquid. Also, the fiber is required to maintain a negative pressure while holding liquid.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

⁽e) the invention was described in-

⁽¹⁾ an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

⁽²⁾ a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/726,021

Art Unit: 2863

Claim 52 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Reich et al. (US 6,177,523).

Reich et al. discloses all the claimed features of the invention including:

- a wettable surface structure comprising a polymer (polymer, column 2, line 12) having relatively long chain lyophilic groups and relatively short chain lyophobic groups alternately (column 2, lines 12-14).

Even though Reich et al. does not disclose using the structure as an ink absorber which is applied to a negative pressure producing portion for use in an ink jet apparatus and holding a liquid supplied thereto, it has been held that a claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In response to Applicants' request to rejoin claims 7-9 and 62, it is noted that upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to

Application/Control Number: 09/726,021

Art Unit: 2863

additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Nghiem whose telephone number is (703) 306-3445. The examiner can normally be reached on M-H from 6:30AM – 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached at (703) 308-3126. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-5841 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

MICHAEL NGHIEM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael Nghiem

January 21, 2003